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This is a matter before the Commission on appeal by the claimant from the

ISSUE

Did the claimant leave his last employment voluntarily without good cause as
provided in Section 60.1-58 (a) of the Code of Virginia (1950), as amended?

FINDINGS OF FACT AND OPINION

Pine Trees Inn, was the claimant's last employer for whom he worked from
November 1978 through January 12, 1979. He performed dish washing and stock
work for this employer. He left this job to go to Florida because he was
living with his parents in Virginia and he was only making minimum wages and
could not afford to work at that job and live on his own. His father had
retired from the United States Navy after thirty years service and decided to
establish permanent residence in Florida. The claimant had previously been
on active military service from April 3, 1977, to February 17, 1978.

Section 60.1-58 (a) of the Virginia Unemployment Compensation Act,
provides a disqualification if it is found that a claimant left work voluntarily
without good cause.

In numerous cases the Commission has stated that a compelling personal
reason is such a reason, which leaves a person no reasonable alternative but
to adopt a particular course of action. When elaborating, the Commission
has often stated that the pressure of necessity or legal duty or family
obligation or other overpowering circumstances and a claimant's capitulation
to them transform what is ostensibly voluntary unemployment into involuntary
unemployment. (See Biley Electrical Company vs Board of Review, in re: Sturdevant, 158 PA. Super. 548, 45 A(2d) 898, 1946)

There is no question in this case before the Commission that the claimant is emancipated and he has shown no other legal duty to reside with his parents. In addition, he has apparently no unusual family obligations and simply found it most expedient to move and reside with them in Florida. Although, the claimant's decision is understandable and was undoubtably the most expedient course of action for him to follow, such a reason is insufficient to meet the compelling and necessitous standard necessary to be deemed "good cause" within the meaning of that term as used in the Virginia Unemployment Compensation Act.

The Commission is, therefore, of the opinion that the Decision of Appeals Examiner should be affirmed.

DECISION

The Decision of the Appeals Examiner is hereby affirmed.

[Signature]
Joseph L. Hayes
Special Examiner